



WP2 so far

**Susanne Padel, Beth Cullen,  
Katie Bliss Dominic Amos, (ORC)**



# Objectives



- Co-ordinate the interaction and exchange between the national /regional farmer innovation groups to ensure good and constructive communication (Task 2.1)
- Develop a framework that facilitates structured exchange of experiences in the area of arable crop production; developing conclusions for a general application in Europe based on regional results (Task 2.2)
- Test innovative end-user and educational material, (e.g. manuals, web-based tools, interactive workshops etc.) and understand reasons for acceptance and successful implementation (Task 2.3- ongoing )
- Develop recommendations on the experiences (Task 2.4 – not started)






# Setting up a network of practise partners/ farmer groups for knowledge exchange (T 2.1 & 2.2)



- Getting to know each other
  - Description of 10 practice partners in 10 countries
  - Structure of the 14 farmer groups
- Agronomic and climatic context
- Main challenges faced and solutions currently used
- Testing of other tools and solutions to key problems



-  Farmer groups
-  Other project partners



# The 9 practice partners co-ordinated by ORC running



- Bionet Austria** collaborative KE project represented by FIBL Austria  
(2 groups)
- BioForum Flanders** non-profit sector organisation for organic farming  
and food, Belgium
- Bioselena** Foundation for Organic Agriculture, Bulgaria
- ConMarcheBio** Consortium of 5 co-operatives, Italy
- ITAB** Technical institute for organic farming, France  
(2 groups)
- EOFF** Estonian Organic Farming Federation (EOFF), Estonia
- ÖMKi** Research Institute of Organic Agriculture, Hungary
- SEGES** Knowledge Centre, Denmark (3 groups)
- VÖP** Network of organic farming organisations, Germany  
(represented by BIOLAND & FIBL-DE)
- ORC - Co-ordination** Organic arable group  
(1 group in collaboration with Organic Arable & OF&G)



# Laying the foundation for a structured knowledge exchange




Getting to know more about:

- The groups & their members
- The soil, climatic and local context
- Crops grown and rotations
- Main challenges faced (as experienced by the farmers)
- Solutions tried
- How they communicate with each other



# Structure of the 14 farmer groups



<b>Group establishment</b>	between 2010 and 2015
<b>Frequency of meetings</b>	2 to 3 times per year
<b>Group size</b>	6 to 49 members (average 20)
<b>Members</b>	mix of new entrants and experienced organic farmers
<b>Age of farmers</b>	most over 30 (ranges from 20 to 70 years old)
<b>Gender</b>	predominantly male
<b>Communication</b>	E-mail, Telephone, SMS Limited use of social media



# 206 farms are group members



**Highly variable soil and climatic conditions**

**Range of farm types**

- Specialised cereal producers (stockless) most frequently mentioned
- Mixed (cereals, livestock and field vegetables)
- Horticulture

**Farm sizes are also variable**

- Group averages range from 10 ha (BE) to > 200 ha (EE)
- From 0.5 ha in Hungary and 1,110 ha in Estonia
- Generally appear larger than national averages

**There is no one typical organic arable farm**





# Soils and climate



<b>Soils</b>	Highly variable Soil organic matter values range from 0.5% to 20%
<b>Climatic zones</b>	9 groups in northern temperate zone, 4 continental, 1 alpine
<b>Altitude (m above sea)</b>	7 below 300, 6 between 300 and 600 2 above 600, some cover all three zones
<b>Rainfall (mm)</b>	Most groups between 300 to 900mm, only one group reported higher



# Crops grown are diverse



**Cereals:** less dominated by wheat and barely, also rye, triticale, spelt, oats, millet, durum wheat are grown

**Grain legumes:** all groups grow at least one type, peas and field beans most common

**Grass-clover:** mixes are part of typical rotations

**Root crops:** grown by some groups with potato most common



# Wide range of crop yields reported

Yields vary within and between groups

- BG & EE lowest yielding
- DK & BE highest yielding

Variability in soils and climate

Yield limiting factors reported

- too much rain (spring & summer),
- unpredictable rainfall and extreme weather events


**Data suggest there is a need but also a clear possibility to improve yields on farms**

Crops	Farm group range (t/ha)	Compared with wider literature
Wheat	0.3-8	Cereals: 7-26 % lower than conventional
Barley	1-7	
Triticale	1-9	
Rye	1.2-6.5	
Spelt	0.8-5.5	
Oats	1.6-6.5	Gap is bigger for wheat & barley, lower for maize
Maize	3-15	Legumes: 5-18% lower Higher for pulses than mixture
Peas	1-4.5	
Faba Beans	0.5-5	
Grass/ clover	5-12	

# Examples of typical rotations

- 3 to 9 years long
- Include grass/clover ley
- Some with pulse crop or forage legume
- Variability within groups
- May not describe what group members implement in practice

Typical proportions (%)



**Detailed analysis of rotations and implications for yields is only possible with individual farm data**

# Overview of 3 main challenges of each group

GROUP	CHALLENGE 1	CHALLENGE 2	CHALLENGE 3
AT1	<b>Soil fertility</b>	Nutrient cycle	Climate change
AT2	Nutrient cycle	<b>Weed management</b>	Climate change
BE	<b>Soil (fertilisation)</b>	<b>Diseases &amp; pests</b>	<b>Weeds</b>
BG	<b>Pests &amp; disease</b>	Lack of knowledge	<b>Weed control</b>
DK1	Fertiliser	Rotation with clover grass	Economics
DK2	<b>Weeds</b>	<b>Minerals &amp; fertiliser</b>	Management for weeding
DK3	Management	<b>Minerals &amp; fertiliser</b>	<b>Weeds</b>
EE	<b>Soil fertility</b>	<b>Weed control</b>	<b>Pests &amp; disease</b>
FR1	Nitrogen management	<b>Weed management</b>	Organic breeding/varieties
FR2	<b>Weed management</b>	Nitrogen management	Biodiversity
DE	<b>Nutrient supply</b>	Crop rotation	<b>Disease &amp; weed management</b>
HU	<b>Weed management</b>	<b>Pest management</b>	Soil & Water management
IT	Mechanisation ( <b>Weed control</b> /ploughing)	Seed availability	<b>Soil fertility and fertilisation</b>
UK	<b>Weeds</b>	<b>Soil fertility</b>	Yield, tillage, lack of knowledge/research

# Weeds: top issue for 12 groups

## Commonly occurring problem weeds

Thistle (*Cirsium*)

Fat hen (*Chenopodium album*)

Docks (*Rumex L.*)

Couch grass (*Elymus repens*)

## Examples of specific weed problems

Blackgrass (*Alopecurus myosuroides*) in UK

Quickweed (*Galinsoga*) in Belgium

**Solutions used:** Crop rotation & crop management, mechanical weeding and min-till

Strong interest in weed suppressing rotations



# Soil fertility: top issue for 8 groups



**The groups use rotations for fertility building**

**Key questions and knowledge gaps**

- How to effectively design rotations and manage system for maximum fertility? Particularly for stockless systems?
- What off-farm inputs to include, when to apply them and how to get hold of them?
- How to cultivate soils to maintain fertility (tillage)?
- How to measure soil fertility? (Soil testing is done on average only once every 5 years)

**Solutions used:** working with reduced tillage (3 groups)

Interest in catch crops and intercropping, mycorrhizae and use of compost



# Pests & disease control: top issue for 5 groups



Ranked high where more horticultural and field crops (BU, EE)

Diseases thriving in temperate, cool, wet and humid conditions.

Most commonly reported problems include:

- rusts (particularly yellow rust; *Puccinia striiformis*),
- late blight (*Phytophthora infestans*),
- mildew (powdery: *Blumeria graminis* and downy: *Peronospora farinose*).

Commonly reported pests include pollen beetles (*Meligethes spp*), wireworm (*Agriotes spp.*) and aphids (*Aphidoidea spp.*).

**Knowledge gap:** Lack of resistant crop varieties and certified plant protection products

**Solutions used:** Rotations, drilling date, tillage and variety selection.





# Conclusions so far



If we compare results with research experts and EIP-AGRI focus group

- Main challenges identified are similar
- Key issues are likely to affect the wider organic arable community

However, site and system specific solutions are required

- Generic approaches will not necessarily address problems of individual farmers.
- Inherent complexity conflicting goals in management approaches – **no silver bullets!**



# Access to information



**Face-to-face** meetings are important

**Advisors** play key role in information provision, but varies

Demand for **practical information**

- research outputs often fail to meet farmer needs (not practical, too generic).
- demand for decision support systems/tools
- farmer knowledge (likely to be context specific).
- Practical demonstration

**Format**

- Printed materials still important source of information.
- So far limited use of online tools and social media channels, but growing interest
- Video is a popular medium
- Interest in interactive tools

**Time**

- Information that can be consumed quickly and easily.
- Searching is time consuming

**Clear demand for information that is independent, trustworthy and reliable**



# Ongoing task on testing education material (T 2.3)



- Difficult to just focus on testing of education material
- Three steps proposed
  - Groups workshop 1 to narrow down tool choice (over the summer)
    - 6 groups have reported so far
  - Group workshop 2 to evaluate 2-3 tools in more depth and identify theme for practical testing next year (before Christmas)
  - Practical testing
    - 2 groups have developed their testing plans
  - Seeder for equal spacing to suppress weeds (Italy)
  - Tool for dock control (Denmark)



# First feedback on tools



- Coordinators were presented with 30 tools in April this year and ask to choose 10
- Most chosen were
  - Cover crop tool box
  - Organic crop rotation planner
  - Videos and web-platform on organic reduced tillage
  - Earthworm guide
  - Management recommendations for organic cereals



# Feedback on small number of workshop reports for choosing tools



- Visuals rather than words
  - Videos being preferred
  - Layout using pictures
- Clear and practical recommendations
- Language matters (farmers want access in their own language)
- Tools that are more interactive,
  - But important to remain relevant and sound



# Next steps



- Monthly newsletter for practice partners to keep involved
- Synthesise workshop results on tool choices, preferences and gaps (MS 10 – Dec 2016)
- Support groups to share the outcomes of their testing (e.g. through short videos, practice abstracts etc).
- Full report on usefulness of tools (Nov 2017) and scientific paper
- Develop small programme of themed practical workshops
- Develop recommendations for research agenda in organic farming (Task 2.4- Bioland)

